

Ronald F. Avery
1933 Montclair Dr.
Seguin, Texas 78155

March 19, 2018

Donald Trump
President of the United States of America
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20500

Re: Open Letter to President Trump
Regarding Lawful Solutions to Mass Shootings & Preservation of God Given Right of
Defense to Government Tyranny Declared in the Second Amendment

Dear President Trump,

We know your job is most difficult and that many people are applying pressure on you to violate the law. George Soros, Michael Bloomberg and Steven Spielberg, to name a few, have paid millions to alter public law in the Second Amendment. Who knows what that money really goes for? We know some goes to motivate innocent school children to protest for the disarmament of the American People which they gladly do, being totally ignorant of the real purpose of the 2nd Amendment, which is also an indictment of the public school system. We feel that men who pay money to remove the defense of the American People are criminals that should be investigated as terrorists.

Today when a mass shooting occurs two laws are broken, the first being the use of a firearm in an assault upon another, and the second, the use of that crime to assault the God given right of the American People to keep and bear an effective defense against government tyranny which we now see and feel everywhere in America.

We adjure you to draft and support legislation that will tend to prevent the violation of the first law without violating another more important law. We have some suggestions below that will tend to prevent mass shootings and will also maintain the lawful right of the people to keep and bear sufficient and effective arms against tyranny:

First, we want legislation correcting firearm terminology. There is no such thing as an "assault weapon." Anything can become an assault weapon if used to assault someone, conversely, anything used to repel an assault is a *defense weapon*. All assault weapons are unlawful and all defense weapons are lawful. The naming of any tool or weapon an "assault weapon" in order to prevent the people from keeping and bearing an effective weapon against tyranny is unlawful, in violation of the 2nd Amendment.

There is also no such thing as "gun violence." People do violence or defend themselves from it with tools. All such misuse of firearm terminology to deprive the people of an effective defense to tyranny is unlawful and more reason to keep all firearms.

Second, we want legislation requiring all evidence to be posted on the internet for all to see, including crime scene photos and autopsy reports, whenever any crime is used as leverage to alter the 2nd Amendment depriving the people of America of an effective defense to government tyranny.

Third, we want legislation declaring that the use of crimes committed by others to alter the 2nd Amendment is an act of terrorism. The definition of terrorism is the use of violence, or threat of same, to alter public policy or law. It does not require that those who do or threaten the violence be the same as those who advocate the alteration of the law or policy and vice versa. Therefore, those who use the violence of another to make the same alteration of public policy are terrorists!

Fourth, we want legislation requiring that anytime a crime is used to disarm the American People, strict and complete proof of same must be made for all the public to see. If a crime is not used for that

purpose then there is no need for such exhaustive public disclosure. But the defense of the American People to tyranny under the 2nd Amendment far outweighs the concerns of the relatives of victims of mass shootings and all evidence must therefore be made public on the internet.

Fifth, we want legislation declaring that proof of a mass shooting to have occurred exactly as reported does not warrant or justify the disarmament of the American People. No crime should be justification for another crime of greater import. The maintenance of an effective and sufficient defense to government tyranny by the American People is paramount to all other laws of the land.

Sixth, we submit that the following facts warrant a full public investigation, complying with the lawful principles above, be made of the **Marjory Stone Douglas High School Mass Shooting Drill** in Parkland, Florida:

1. No doubt, the Douglas Mass Shooting Drill is being used as leverage to alter the 2nd Amendment and therefore falls into the class of crimes requiring full disclosure of all the shocking details.
2. Student witness, **David Hogg**, could not get his facts straight in his own mind about what happened but demanded gun control. He also gave contradictory testimony saying he feared for his life and wanted to leave a message for all in case he was killed but then said he and others thought it was a drill and did not learn it was real until they heard it from news reports.
3. **Kevin Hogg**, David's dad, is not only an FBI agent but is also a hardware engineer for Cubic Simulation Systems, a company that trains the military in simulated combat situations. (Kevin and Cubic are capable of orchestrating a simulated active-shooter drill and making it go live).
4. Student witness, **Alexa**, said that she talked to the alleged shooter, Nikolas Cruz, in the hall right after hearing gun shots at the other end of the building and for that reason she believes there was more than one shooter. She said she told Cruz she thought he might have done the shooting, as much trouble as he has been in, and he just shrugged his shoulders and ignored it. (Apparently, nothing alerted Alexa that Cruz was, or had been, the shooter).
5. The alleged shooter, **Nikolas Cruz**, allegedly, went to Walmart and bought a drink at the Subway inside, then went to McDonald's and just sat around for a while. (Is that what shooters do on the run after killing 17 people?)
6. Writing teacher witness, **Stacey Lippel**, said she was nicked in the shoulder by a bullet and that she saw a cop spraying the hallway with bullets. She wondered what this cop was doing there in full SWAT gear spraying the hall with bullets.
7. Student witness, **Hispanic girl in "Cali Lov" sweat shirt**, said there were at least three (3) shooters and that there had to be more than one because the students had to turn around as they fled from the shooter because another shooter came from the other end of the building. She insisted there were three shooters.
8. Student witness, **Kelsey Friend**, said there was gun powder all over the floor which is known to occur when blank rounds are used in a gun. She also said that students and teachers had been saying they were going to have a "code red" drill with cops using fake guns that sounded real and they thought that was what was happening. (When do drills involve role playing with guns and blank rounds?)
9. **Capt. Jan Jordan**, Broward Sheriff's Office, gave a "stand-down order" to "form a perimeter around the scene" rather than enter the buildings. (Did she know something more was going on in there, e.g., other cops or impostors with guns doing a lot of shooting? Had she too been told of a fake cop "code red" shooting drill? And who set all that up?)
10. **Scott Israel**, Broward County Sheriff, said BSO training and national active-shooter procedure is for armed law enforcement to confront the shooter immediately. (Did the BSO know something more was happening than a lone-shooter?)

11. BSO Deputy, **Jeff Bell**, is demanding transparency instead of spin and for the Sheriff to release all the information not just pick and choose what to release. (What do the deputies know and think about this incident?)

The **Weaponized Media Cartel** continues to report, in contradiction to their own "news" coverage, that one student, Nikolas Cruz, did all the shooting and that we need gun control. The Douglas High School Mass Shooting Drill is used by terrorists to mobilize innocent trusting children to commit a another larger crime against the American People, namely, deprivation of sufficient defense to tyranny. Therefore the Douglas High School Mass Shooting Drill requires full disclosure and we expect the same.

Sincerely,

Ronald F. Avery