

Dear Carol,

I feel like I need to provide a link to this exchange.

One thing I have learned in life, is that you can indeed trust your eyes and other senses most of the time. It is obvious that the hydraulics on the trackhoe bucket was working at least good enough to pick up the molten metal. I doubt that the whole site was so hot that the trackhoe hydraulics were in danger.

One piece of evidence missing to support Dr. Wood's theory is the **absence of heat** in the molten iron that was reported by several firemen. Many people including firemen saw the molten iron "steel" up close and not one of them said anything about it being cool. Everyone associates heat with molten iron. If the iron would have been glowing with no associated heat I doubt they would have reported molten iron at all but the observance of a very strange phenomenon like glowing running stuff. Because it was hot, they simply reported what they were most experienced with, hot molten iron or steel. Something as strange as glowing cold iron running in cold rivers that you could approach and even touch would be worthy of shock and report by the ordinary person.

We can be taught to see and not see. We learn to see what is not there because we **want** to see what is not there. Many have said, including my pastor, they saw the jet crash into the Pentagon in the photos released from the Pentagon Security camera. These photos simply did not show a jet. They see it because they want to see it. People are seeing cold glowing iron and steel turning to dust because they want to see it.

In the case of the Pentagon jet they want their government to be innocent so they see what they have been told to see. In the case of dustification of steel people see it because they want a new strange power that can be used to provide free everlasting energy that can be used for good as well as bad. They love the idea of popularity forcing government to disclose the technology so it can be used for good. They love science fiction and in fact many of them believe this DEW to be alien technology that has been reverse engineered from Area 51 Roswell type R and D.

The real question comes up as to why some steel would glow and turn to cold liquid and other steel would turn to dust. It seems like two very different operations going on and certainly not generated by the same device. Once again it sounds like Dr. Wood simply is trying to escape the fact that manufactured nanothermite was indeed discovered in all the dust samples and that it produced molten iron as its byproduct as we know it does when the reaction is initiated.

Of course the question arises why would manufactured nanothermite be placed in the three skyscrapers if a new directed energy weapon was used that is so powerful that it turns heavy heat treated steel of 100,000 psi to dust and turns the same steel to glowing cold liquid? Anything that powerful does not need nanothermite. Some have said the nanothermite was used along with the DEW to guide the collapse. But Dr. Wood has already said that a normal demolition would break the bathtub wall and that is why dustification was selected over other demolition means. So why manage a collapse when there really isn't one with dustification? The bathtub wall was never a real consideration and the mere fact that guys on the site pulling a six story structure over with cables were saying they did not want to damage it does not mean it was ever a serious concern to them after having seen two 110 story buildings fall into the same

area. I have seen the construction photos of that wall. A six story steel building was never a threat to a 6 story massive reinforced concrete wall anchored diagonally.

I am open to other devices being used at the WTC to bring it down and reduce its mass on the site. But I am not open to the dismissal of real verifiable evidence that has already been established in order to make way for something that has not been established. We cannot discard nanothermite and replace it with DEW because we have no conclusive evidence of DEWs but we do have conclusive evidence of nanothermite. It is that simple. Dr. Wood is making it more complicated and actually starting a cult that must be trained to believe what she wants them to believe.

Remember we're from Texas and we know that horseshoes left in the sun are hard to hold for very long and we know what happens when you put your boot down with too much angle into a fresh cow patty and we know the odor of that thump in the night drive home. Let's not get too far out there unless something is really conclusive. Let's stick with what we know until what we don't know now is proven conclusively.

But for now glowing iron brands cattle and tons of dust can leave a trail in the sky as the steel it was on falls quicker. And photos make things look dusty and faint when viewed through tons of dust in the atmosphere especially when other pictures of the same event look like steel falling with dust coming off leaving a trail. And so far there is no proof that hurricanes can be harnessed to turn steel into dust and glowing cold liquid.

Sincerely,
Ron

From: [Carol Crosby](#)
Sent: Saturday, March 21, 2015 6:34 PM
To: [Ron Avery](#)
Subject: I found it in the archives!

No need to write back Ron. Thankee kinlee!

Hey,,,Anymore molten metal pics? I think you said you had a bunch. Found out hydrolics won't function with high heat. We talked about that one at dinner before I knew about the way cranes with buckets work. Lots of folks say there were rivers and pools of molten metal, but, as I said,,,I hadn't seen any. I'm sincerely looking for pictures to support the accounts.

I'm learning that our eyes can be controlled by our brains to see things that are not actually correct. Our ability to see with clarity can be altered by others who direct us to see or to not see. As I told you at the table, I understand that right now, you don't see steel turning to dust. But you have the aptitude to observe dustification. Just give it time, slow down and you will see it one day. I'm sure of it. C