

**Submission of Information
to the Federal House Judiciary Committee
"Protecting America From Assault Weapons"**

(Use of Violence to Alter The Law of The Land)

By Ronald F. Avery

9/25/2019

The hearing can best be seen at this C-SPAN link:

<https://www.c-span.org/video/?464471-1/judiciary-hearing-assault-weapons>

The C-SPAN stream has a transcript under it along with clips of each committee member and witnesses.

The House Judiciary Committee:

<https://judiciary.house.gov/about/members>

Date & Time of Hearing: Wed, 09/25/2019 - 10:00am

2141 Rayburn House Office Building, Washington, DC 20515

The House Members of the Judicial Committee will have five days from the date of the hearing to file more documents and ask more questions of the panelists that will be entered into the record. I, Ronald F. Avery, respectfully request that this letter be added to the record.

Dear House Judicial Committee Member(s):

I was saddened by the lack of knowledge regarding the purpose of the Second Amendment exhibited at this hearing. Not one person in the audience, or on the panel of witnesses, or members of the House Judiciary Committee expressed the true meaning of the 2nd Amendment and therefore could not make a meaningful defense of the law of the land to those who want to use violence to infringe upon, alter or abolish the 2nd Amendment, as if they could abolish a God given right to all organisms for personal and community defense.

Rep Greg Steube came closer than anyone but fell short of saying what the 2nd Amendment was really all about. There were numerous House Members that said they defended the 2nd Amendment even those that were in favor of infringing upon it as a result of mass shooting violence.

Rep. Steube stated that the 2nd Amendment has nothing to do with hunting but rather about protecting life and property. But, rather than explaining what it was about, he dropped back into the false arguments all others were making. The hearing lasted for three and a half hours and not one time were the words "tyranny" or "usurpation" or "misconstruction" mentioned.

The conversation by both those who want to alter the law of the land and those who want to maintain it accepted the premise that there was something called an "assault weapon" and that those should not be in the hands of the people. So the whole argument was about what constitutes an "assault weapon."

Let's clarify this illogical argument: First, an "assault weapon" is an object used in an assault. Second, a "defense weapon" is an object used in defense or in repelling an assault. There is no such thing as an object that is always one or the other. Designating an object an "assault weapon" to deprive the American People of an effective defense to tyranny is tyranny in action.

The **Preamble to the Bill of Rights** makes it clear what the purpose of the Second Amendment is:

"The Conventions of a number of the States, having at the time of their adopting the Constitution, expressed a desire, **in order to prevent misconstruction or abuse of its powers, that further declaratory and restrictive clauses should be added: And as extending the ground of public confidence in the Government,** will best ensure the beneficent ends of its institution."

The 2nd Amendment is not about ridding the barn of vermin, hunting food, or target competitions. The 2nd Amendment is not even about protecting your home from intruders, thieves, rapists, or murderers. The 2nd Amendment is about stopping tyranny in government which has very powerful weapons. And that is why weapons that are very effective at killing lots of people very fast are protected by the 2nd Amendment. The style and type of weapons protected by the 2nd Amendment are "military style weapons." And to answer Jamie Raskin's questions; Yes the 2nd Amendment protects machine guns, tanks, jets and nuclear devices. But they are naturally regulated by expense and affordability. As an example, an x marine pilot bought his own Harrier Jet:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-PHcdn8R4d4>

Let us read **the 2nd Amendment** again:

"A well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed."

The "Militia" is We The People and their Arms. A "free State" is one that protects freedom. A state is free when the people enjoy freedom within that state. Each of the early States made the US Constitution and they wanted to retain protection of the freedom they had from foreign invasion and domestic tyranny, usurpation, and misconstruction and/or abuse of power. Now what militia with revolvers, sling shots, and bow and arrows would be effective against the United States Army or a state National Guard or the Chinese? Individuals cannot enjoy freedom within a state if their defense to tyranny is removed. Therefore "a free State" is the freedom of the people armed against tyranny imposed upon them by their own state or federal government. The foregoing was made clear in the Federalist Papers especially #29.

The definition of *militia* is simply *defensive action* and it can be lawfully organized by civilians to defend one's self or the community against tyranny from any source including one's own state police or national guard or a federal military. Our founders knew that governments can be dissolved and/or overthrown from within and this is their defense against that condition.

How many times have we heard these phrases: "Government is broken, or, it's time to take back our country, or, Restore the Republic?" These are crude inaccurate statements

of the condition of dissolved tyrannical government. We have unlawful paper debt currency, an unlawful central banking cartel, an unlawful federal standing army, unlawful federal direct tax on wages, and unlawful "gun laws" or infringements upon 2nd Amendment.

We also no longer have a free press or a press that is owned by many varied types of people, companies and interests. All the media has been bought up by the same types of people who all report the same news with the same slant, omissions, or misinformation. It is a weaponized mass media cartel which includes all the major newspapers and television networks. This media cartel also wants to disarm the American people and they do a very bad job of investigating and reporting mass shootings. The police and FBI do not investigate these crimes well either and do not make the results public. What is the purpose of a crime report if no one in the public can see it especially when people want to disarm you as a result of a mass shooting? The mass media conclusion at the end of a mass shooting is often contradictory to their own coverage of the event causing any reasonable person to wonder what really happened, or even if it happen, or how much is true and how much is false.

The Members of the Judicial Committee asked what the cause of the increased mass shootings was and it is easy to show that they started up after so-called "9/11/01 Terror Attack." But this 9/11/01 crime was never investigated by any law enforcement body in America or elsewhere. But many people in America and beyond have made major discoveries of evidence showing the US Federal official story to be false. The so-called "Patriot Act" was written prior to the 9/11/01 attack and was signed into law immediately afterward. That act infringed upon the fourth and fifth Amendments of the Bill of Rights.

The federal State Department also has a program entitled "Freedom From War" where its stated purpose is to completely disarm the America people and turn over heavy weapons to the United Nations and retain only small arms to enforce civil obedience in the states. This is a tyrannical program in violation of the law of the land:

<https://famguardian.org/Subjects/GunControl/Law/freedom-from-war-state-dept-pub-7277.pdf>

The reason for the increase in mass shootings is the employment of Disarmament Terrorism to alter or abolish the 2nd Amendment to effectuate the federal program by using or managing mass shooting crises to get the people to demand alteration of the law of the land. This is the use of violence to alter the law of the land which is terrorism by definition. It is against the law to kill people unless in self defense and it is against the law to disarm the people. So two laws are broken today with mass shootings, the initial killing followed by the advocacy to alter the law of the land making the people defenseless to tyranny, a much worse crime. **The prevention of one crime cannot be dependent upon the commission of an even greater crime.**

It is time to consider the definitions of *disarmament terrorism* to make sure that we don't become conspirators by deception.

Terrorism: The use of violence (real, threatened, pretended or perceived) to alter public policy or law.

Conspiracy to Commit Terrorism: The agreement to use violence to alter public policy or law. The conspirators need not know one another. Conspirators may drop out and drop in over time. Conspirators may have different jobs but share the goal and means to achieve it. A conspiracy does not require that those who do or threaten the violence be the same as those who advocate the alteration of the law or policy based upon that violence and vice versa.

Conspiracy to Commit Disarmament Terrorism : The advocacy of alteration, infringement upon, or abolition of the 2nd Amendment based upon violence, threatened, executed, or pretended by themselves or others.

Liability: Every conspirator is liable for all the acts of everyone in the conspiracy.

Infringement upon the 2nd Amendment has been going for so long that its advocacy is looked upon as a minor thing like the best color of stop signs or how many pets someone may have in an urban area. But advocacy of infringement upon the 2nd Amendment is worse than the advocacy of murder. Who would advocate murder? But thousands will advocate the removal of the defense to tyranny thereby murdering a whole nation. But this shows how much we have been deceived about our laws and our God given rights. Don't fall for this deception any further and resist disarmament terrorists when they advocate infringing upon the 2nd Amendment based upon mass shootings.

It is absurd to use violence as a reason to give up defense to violence. But that is exactly what the advocates of infringement and alteration of the law are doing. But if we block by law the alteration, infringement upon, or abolition of the 2nd Amendment based upon violence and mass shootings they will cease as such violence would have no purpose. At least it would prove that any mass shooting would be by a truly crazy person(s) and not a disarmament terrorist.

Would you like to give up a gun at gun point? Those who are advocating alteration of the law of the land because of violence are doing just that. The disarmament terrorists say "If you give up your guns, mass shootings will cease." We don't know what mass killings are until we give up our military style defense weapons. Are people in government so nice that we can safely give up our defense to tyranny? When there is no deterrent to genocide you will very likely witness it.

There is factual evidence that would cause a rational person to question the motive of these mass shootings and lead one to think these events may be orchestrated or managed by disarmament terrorists:

Sandy Hook:

- Email to and from Sandy Hook Elementary ceased in 2008, four years before the shooting.
- FBI National Crime Report for Newtown CN in 2012 shows: 7 violent crimes, 5 forcible rapes, 0 robberies, 2 aggravated assaults, 185 property crimes, 32 Burglaries, 151 larceny thefts, 2 motor vehicle thefts, 1 arson and **0 Murders & non-negligent manslaughters.**

- A FEMA manual on a mass shooting drill was found at the Adam Lanza residence showing Sandy Hook Elementary as the Location and a drill date of 12/14/12, the same day of the shooting.
- No pictures of crime scene, no pictures of evacuated student body, no pictures of triage with the injured or dead. Only 20 or so children are shown over and over again in poses in parking lot.

Douglas High School in Parkland Florida:

- Several eyewitnesses say there was more than one shooter because they had to change evacuation directions due to multiple locations of shots fired.
- One witness stood beside and talked to Nikolas Cruz, the only alleged shooter, right after hearing shots in another part of the building.
- A wounded teacher says she saw a policeman in full metal swat gear and helmet spraying the building with bullets from a strange gun one of which hit her arm.
- Several students said they were told they would have a “code red” mass shooting drill with fake bullets that day so they were not immediately afraid.
- Gun powder was found all over the floor which is a result of using fake bullets.
- No obituaries for the 17 deaths were posted until a researcher drew attention to the fact.
- The permit for the “March For Our Lives” event in Washington DC was applied for 6 months in advance of the Douglas High shooting which was the main focus of the march.
- The student spokesmen for Douglas High turned out not to be a student there. His dad, Kevin Hogg was an FBI agent who also worked for Cubic, a company that creates simulated combat situations.

El Paso, Texas 8/3/19:

- 20 or so eyewitnesses say 3 or 4 men dressed in all black entered the Walmart and did the shooting. News concludes one 21 year old white male, Patrick Crusius, did all the shooting.

George Soros, Michael Bloomberg and Steven Spielberg, to name a few, have paid millions to alter public law in the Second Amendment. Who knows what that money really goes for? And they are using these acts of violence or perceived violence to alter the law of the land which is **Disarmament Terrorism**.

For a one page summary of disarmament terrorism see my flyer submitted to the **San Antonio 3rd Town Hall Meeting on Mass Shootings** at:

<http://lawfulgovernment.com/3rd-town-hall-flyer-2.pdf>

The said Town Hall Meeting in San Antonio was live streamed by KSAT, the ABC affiliate, and it turned out to be a large and vibrant defense of the 2nd Amendment where the crowd booed a councilman for supporting a voluntary "buyback" and booed the mayor for supporting "universal background checks" and "red flag" laws. But since this was not praising the virtues of disarmament, KSAT did not report the true content of the meeting even though they live streamed the whole thing. You may see my live stream which was taken right next to KSAT's cameras at:

<https://livestream.com/ronavery/StopThePresses/videos/196521793>

There was sound defense arguments made for the 2nd Amendment at this San Antonio (Military City) Town Hall Meeting unlike those presented at the House Judicial Committee hearing. I invite you to see that video which also features the man who confronted the shooter with a military style gun at Sutherland Springs resulting in the shooter fleeing the scene and allegedly committing suicide nine miles away. This hero of Sutherland Springs defended the 2nd Amendment. But no mention of him was made in the KSAT TV coverage of the Town Hall Meeting.

Things are not right today in our government and fourth estate, the press, as most Americans will tell you, so this is not the time to give up effective military style defense weapons. Don't be deceived by Disarmament Terrorists. Prevent them from using mass shootings to disarm the American People! Pass a bill to prevent alteration of the 2nd Amendment based upon mass shootings or other violence and put an end to Disarmament Terrorism.

Respectfully Submitted,

Ronald F. Avery